Resonant Leptogenesis in B-L Model with modular symmetry

Yuta Orikasa

CTU, IEAP

Contents

- Resonant Leptogenesis
- Flavor Symmetry

Baryon Asymmetry

There are baryon asymmetry in universe.

$$5.8 \ 10^{-10} < \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{n_{\gamma}} < 6.5 \ 10^{-10}$$

The origin of baryon asymmetry is mystery.

Sakharov's condition

The baryon asymmetry can be dynamically generated ('baryogenesis') provided that1. B violation2. C and CP violation3. Out of thermal equilibrium

Baryogenesis in SM

Electroweak baryogenesis

• B violation

sphaleron process

• C and CP violation

CKM matrix

• Out of thermal equilibrium

1st order phase transition

Can electroweak baryogenesis in SM produce a sufficiently large asymmetry?

Can electroweak baryogenesis in SM produce a sufficiently large asymmetry? NO!

Can electroweak baryogenesis in SM produce a sufficiently large asymmetry?



The SM fails on two aspects:

1. The Higgs sector does not give a strongly first order PT.Requirement: $m_H < 70 GeV$ LHC: $m_H = 125 GeV$ 2. CP Violation in CKM is not enough.Requirement: $Y_B \sim 10^{-10}$ CKM: $\frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_B + n_{\bar{B}}} \sim 10^{-20}$

Baryogenesis Via Leptogenesis

SM + right-handed Majorana neutrinos
 O B violation
 L violation by majorana neutrinos decay

Sphaleron process

• C and CP violation

Neutrino mixing matrix (complex)

• Out of thermal equilibrium

Out of equilibrium decay of right-handed neutrino

Baryogenesis Via Leptogenesis

• L asymmetry is generated due to CP asymmetry that arises through interference of tree level and one-loop diagrams.



• Sphaleron process converts L asymmetry into B asymmetry.

CP Asymmetry



Right-handed Majorana neutrino can decay into lepton and anti-lepton.

Vertex contribution

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{1} &\equiv \frac{\sum_{j} \left[\Gamma \left(N_{1} \rightarrow \ell_{j} h \right) - \Gamma \left(N_{1} \rightarrow \ell_{j}^{C} h^{*} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j} \left[\Gamma \left(N_{1} \rightarrow \ell_{j} h \right) + \Gamma \left(N_{1} \rightarrow \ell_{j}^{C} h^{*} \right) \right]} \\ &= -\sum_{j=2,3} \frac{M_{1}}{M_{j}} \frac{\Gamma_{j}}{M_{j}} \left(\frac{V_{j}}{2} + S_{j} \right) \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[(Y_{D}^{2})_{1j}^{2} \right]}{\left| Y_{D}^{2} \right|_{11}} \left| Y_{D}^{2} \right|_{jj}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma_{j}}{M_{j}} = \frac{\left| Y_{D}^{2} \right|_{jj}}{8\pi} \\ & \text{Self-energy contribution} \end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical case

If right-handed neutrinos have a hierarchical mass spectrum $(M_{2,3} \gg M_1)$, we can write a CP asymmetry parameter as

$$\epsilon_1 \sim \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{m_{\nu} M_1}{v^2} \sin \delta \sim 10^{-6} \frac{m_{\nu}}{0.05 eV} \frac{M_1}{10^{10} GeV} \sin \delta$$

The present Baryon asymmetry
$$Y_B \sim \kappa \frac{\epsilon_1}{g_*} \sim 10^{-10}$$
$$g_* = \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ is the number of relativistic degrees of freedom}$$
$$n \text{ the SM} \quad \kappa \sim 2 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{0.05 eV}{m_{\nu}}\right)^{1.1}$$

Majorana mass bound

$$Y_B \sim 10^{-10} \left(\frac{0.05 eV}{m_{\nu}}\right)^{0.1} \frac{M_1}{10^{10} GeV} \sin \delta \sim 10^{-10}$$

$$m_{
u} \sim \sqrt{m_{atm}^2} \sim 0.05 eV$$

The lightest Majorana mass is heavier than

 $10^{10} GeV$.

- The Majorana masses are heavier than $10^{10}GeV$, if the spectrum of Majorana masses has hierarchy.
- If the Majorana mass of right-handed neutrino is smaller than a few TeV, general leptogenesis can not work.

- The Majorana masses are heavier than $10^{10}GeV$, if the spectrum of Majorana masses has hierarchy.
- If the Majorana mass of right-handed neutrino is smaller than a few TeV, general leptogenesis can not work.



When two right-handed neutrinos have mass differences comparable to their decay widths $(M_2^2 - M_1^2 \sim M_1 \Gamma_2)$, self-energy correction dominates.

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_1 &\sim \frac{Im[Y^2]_{12}^2}{|Y^2|_{11}|Y^2|_{22}} \frac{M_1 \Gamma_2 \left(M_2^2 - M_1^2\right)}{\left(M_2^2 - M_1^2\right)^2 + M_1^2 \Gamma_2^2} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{Im[Y^2]_{12}^2}{|Y^2|_{11}|Y^2|_{22}} \\ &\sim M_1 \sim TeV, \quad \frac{M_2^2 - M_1^2}{M_1^2} \sim 10^{-12} \end{split}$$

 ϵ_1 can be even O(1).

Degenerate masses

$$M_1 = M_2$$
 @ High scale

RG evolution

 $M_2^2 - M_1^2 \propto Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} (y v_{B-L})^2$ $\propto \Gamma M$

Flavor symmetry S₄ Modular symmetry Flavor Symmetry

3 Right-Handed Neutrinos \Rightarrow Resonant Leptogenesis + Dark Matter candidate U(1) B-L Gauge Symmetry \Rightarrow Thermal Production of Neutrinos S₄ Modular Symmetry \Rightarrow Resonant Leptogenesis

Modular Symmetry

$$\tau \longrightarrow \gamma \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$
, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = 1$, $\operatorname{Im}[\tau] > 0$

Generators

$$S: \tau \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}, \qquad T: \tau \longrightarrow \tau + 1 \qquad S^2 = I, (ST)^3 = I$$

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) , \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\} \qquad \qquad T^{N} = I$$

$$\Gamma(4) \approx S_4$$

S4 symmetry

All permutations among four objects $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \rightarrow (X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l)$ S: $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \rightarrow (X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4)$ T: $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \rightarrow (X_2, X_3, X_4, X_1)$ $S^2 = T^4 = (ST)^3 = 1$ Representations : 1, 1', 2, 3, 3'

S4 modular symmetry

$$S^2 = T^4 = (ST)^3 = 1 \qquad \Gamma_4 \approx S_4$$

Representations : 1, 1', 2, 3, 3' $3(3') \rightarrow 3$ generations $2 \rightarrow 2$ degenerate masses $\Gamma_2 \approx S_3: 1, 1', 2$ $\Gamma_3 \approx A_4: 1, 1', 1'', 3$

Minimum symmetry is S₄

Modular Symmetry

Modular form

$$f(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau) , \quad \gamma \in \Gamma(N)$$
 k : Modular weight

a = d = -1, b = c = 0 $f(\tau) = (-1)^k f(\tau)$

Odd modular weight \Rightarrow Vanish

 Modular Weight
 Representation

 2
 2, 3'

 4
 1, 2, 3, 3'

 6
 1, 1', 2, 3, 3'

Field

 $\phi^{(I)} \to (c\tau + d)^{-k_I} \rho^{(I)}(\gamma) \phi^{(I)}$ $\rho^{(I)}(\gamma)$: Unitary representation matrix of S_4

Yukawa

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \alpha \bar{L}_{L} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)} e_{R_{e}} H_{d} + \beta \bar{L}_{L} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)} \ell_{R} H_{d} + \bar{L}_{L} \left(\gamma_{1} Y_{\mathbf{3}}^{(4)} + \gamma_{2} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(4)} \right) N_{R} H_{u} + \delta \bar{N}_{R_{1}}^{c} Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(6)} N_{R_{1}} \phi + y \bar{N}_{R}^{c} N_{R} \phi$$

Vanishing terms

$\bar{L}_L N_{R_1} H_u$





 N_{R_1} is stable



	Fermions					Bosons		
	$\bar{L}_L \equiv (\bar{L}_{L_e}, \bar{L}_{L_{\mu}}, \bar{L}_{L_{\tau}})^T$	e_{R_e}	$\ell_R \equiv (e_{R_\mu}, e_{R_\tau})^T$	N_{R_1}	$N_R \equiv (N_{R_2}, N_{R_3})^T$	H_u	H_d	ϕ
$SU(2)_L$	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
$U(1)_Y$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0
$U(1)_{B-L}$	1	-1	-1	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	0	0	2
S_4	3′	1	2	1	2	1	1	1
-k	-1	-1	-1	-3	0	-3	0	0





	Fermions					Bosons		
	$\bar{L}_L \equiv (\bar{L}_{L_e}, \bar{L}_{L_{\mu}}, \bar{L}_{L_{\tau}})^T$	e_{R_e}	$\ell_R \equiv (e_{R_\mu}, e_{R_\tau})^T$	N_{R_1}	$N_R \equiv (N_{R_2}, N_{R_3})^T$	H_u	H_d	ϕ
$SU(2)_L$	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
$U(1)_Y$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0
$U(1)_{B-L}$	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	2
S_4	3′	1	2	1	2	1	1	1
-k	-1	-1	-1	-3	0	-3	0	0

Yukawa

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \alpha \bar{L}_{L} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)} e_{R_{e}} H_{d} + \beta \bar{L}_{L} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)} \ell_{R} H_{d} + \bar{L}_{L} \left(\gamma_{1} Y_{\mathbf{3}}^{(4)} + \gamma_{2} Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(4)} \right) N_{R} H_{u} + \delta \bar{N}_{R_{1}}^{c} Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(6)} N_{R_{1}} \phi + y \bar{N}_{R}^{c} N_{R} \phi$$

Boundary conditions

$$Y_M(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$
 m_0 : Breaking scale of the flavor symmetry

$$Y_{\nu}(m_{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{2}y_{1}' & -\gamma_{1}y_{1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\gamma_{1}y_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{2}y_{3}' & \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{1}y_{3} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\gamma_{2}y_{2}' \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\gamma_{1}y_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{2}y_{2}' & \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{1}y_{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\gamma_{2}y_{3}' \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y_{\mathbf{3}}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Renormalization group equations

$$16\pi^{2} \mu \frac{dY_{\nu}^{\dagger}Y_{\nu}}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2} Y_{M} Y_{M}^{*} Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} Y_{M} Y_{M}^{*} + Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} (6y_{t}^{2} - \frac{3}{2}g_{1}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}g_{2}^{2} - 12g_{B-L}^{2} - \frac{3}{2}g_{mix}^{2} - 6g_{B-L}g_{mix})$$

$$16\pi^{2} \mu \frac{dY_{M}}{d\mu} = Y_{M} Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} + Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu} Y_{M} + Y_{M} Y_{M}^{*} Y_{M} + \frac{1}{2} Y_{M} Tr \left[Y_{M} Y_{M}^{\dagger}\right] - 6g_{B-L}^{2} Y_{M}$$

$$Mass difference$$

$$Off diagonal$$

	Result	
parameters	predictions	Observed values
Guage $v_{B-L} = 17 TeV$ $g_{B-L} = 0.20$ Modular $\tau = 0.25 + 2.5 i$ Yukawa y = 0.5	Baryon number $\frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{n_{\gamma}} = 5.93 \ 10^{-10}$ Active neutrino $m_1 = 8.46 \ 10^{-3} \ eV$ $m_2 = 4.93 \ 10^{-2} \ eV$ Majorana neutrino $M_1 = 7.79 \ TeV$ $\frac{M_2^2 - M_1^2}{M_1^2} = 9.92 \ 10^{-12}$ Gauge boson $M_{\tau t} = 6.90 \ TeV$	$\begin{split} & 5.8\ 10^{-10} < \frac{n_b - n_{\overline{b}}}{n_{\gamma}} < 6.5\ 10^{-10}\ (95\ \%\ \text{CL}) \\ & 8.26\ 10^{-3}\ eV < m_1 < 8.97\ 10^{-3}\ eV\ (3\ \sigma) \\ & 4.93\ 10^{-2}\ eV < m_2 < 5.10\ 10^{-2}\ eV\ (3\ \sigma) \end{split}$

Conclusions

- Resonant leptogenesis scenario in TeV scale B-L model
- S₄ Modular symmetry naturally realizes degenerate masses
- RG evolutions generate a splitting of Majorana masses
- CP asymmetry parameter is automatically enhanced by resonance
- Right amount of baryon number can be obtained

Future Work

- Search wide region
- Quark and Charged lepton sector